UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/579,738	05/18/2006	Motohiro Itadani	4918-0106PUS1	3502
2292 7590 05/20/2009 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040 0747			EXAMINER	
			CALEY, MICHAEL H	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/20/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/579,738	ITADANI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael H. Caley	2871
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 A 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 18 May 2006 is/are: a	awn from consideration. or election requirement. er.	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/18/06; 8/24/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: <u>JP2003-149</u>	ate Patent Application

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested:

In-plane switching mode liquid crystal display device having a biaxial optically anisotropic member

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Suzuki et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,115,095 "Suzuki").

Regarding claim 1, Suzuki discloses a liquid crystal display device of an in-plane switching mode (Figure 11; abstract) which comprises a pair of polarizers (15, 16) which are a polarizer at an output side and a polarizer at an incident side and disposed at relative positions such that absorption axes of the polarizers are approximately perpendicular to each other (Column 16 line 40) and at least an optically anisotropic member (Column 16 lines 46-50) and a liquid crystal cell (20) which are disposed between the pair of polarizers (Figure 11), wherein

Art Unit: 2871

nz>nx>ny (Column 16 lines 51-52; nz is equated to nzF, nx is equated to nsF [slow, optical axis], ny is equated to nfF [fast axis], Column 3 lines 13-21); and the in-plane slow axis (optical axis) of the optically anisotropic member and the absorption axis of a polarizer disposed closer to the anisotropic member are disposed at relative positions approximately parallel or approximately perpendicular to each other (Column 16 lines 52-57).

Regarding claim 2, Suzuki discloses the absorption axis of the polarizer at the output side (Column 16 line 40 [the absorption axis is inherently orthogonal to the -15 degree transmission axis of the output polarizer]) and the in-plane slow axis of a liquid crystal of the liquid crystal cell under application of no voltage are disposed at relative positions parallel to each other [at 75 degrees; Column 16 lines 36-40), and the optically anisotropic member is disposed between the liquid crystal cell and the polarizer at the output side (Figure 11).

Regarding claim 3, Suzuki discloses the in-plane slow axis of the optically anisotropic member and the in-plane slow axis of a liquid crystal of the liquid crystal cell under application of no voltage are disposed at relative positions approximately perpendicular to each other (Column 16 lines 37, 56).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki in view of Mori et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,184,957 "Mori").

Suzuki fails to disclose the type of material used to form the optically anisotropic member. Mori, however, teaches polymers having a negative value of intrinsic birefringence as advantageous for forming optically anisotropic members for in-plane switching liquid crystal displays (Column 9 lines 44-52, Column 3 lines 16-50).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the optically anisotropic member from a polymer having a negative value of intrinsic birefringence. One would have been motivated to form the member from such a material due to its status in the art as a preferable material for forming such optical members (Column 8 lines 44-52).

Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki in view of Yamaoka et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,417,904 "Yamaoka").

Suzuki fails to disclose lamination of a transparent resin having an alicyclic structure to at least one face of a layer of the optically anisotropic member. Yamaoka, however, teaches lamination of such a resin to the optically anisotropic member as a base film (Column 8 lines 36-55; Column 3 line 65) as a means of preventing deterioration of the optically anisotropic member (Column 1 lines 28-45).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to laminate the optically anisotropic member to a transparent resin having an alicyclic structure. One would have been motivated to combine the optically anisotropic member to a transparent resin as proposed to improve resistance of the member to negative environmental effects (Yamaoka: Column 1 lines 41-45).

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki in view of Uejima (JP 2003-246014).

Suzuki fails to disclose the content of residual volatile components in the optically anisotropic member. Uejima teaches a range of residual volatile components including the range of 0.1% by weight or smaller (abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the optically anisotropic member to have residual volatile components in the range of 0.1% by weight or smaller as a means of improving the durability and adhesion strength of the film according to the teachings of Uejima (abstract).

Application/Control Number: 10/579,738 Page 6

Art Unit: 2871

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki in

view of Shuzo (JP 2003-149643).

Suzuki fails to disclose a protective film of a polarizer at a side of vision in the liquid crystal display device as comprising a low refractive index layer that is formed with aero gel and has a refractive index of 1.36 or smaller. Shuzo, however, teaches such a protective film layer as a means of providing a protective and anti-reflective function (abstract; Derwent abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form a protective film as proposed to benefit from a display protection and anti-reflection function according to the teachings of Shuzo.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael H. Caley whose telephone number is (571)272-2286. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:00 a.m - 2:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571)272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/579,738 Page 7

Art Unit: 2871

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael H. Caley/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2871